

83-02

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES
9 ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
10 REGION 9

11 In the Matter of)
12 HUGHES AIRCRAFT COMPANY,) ORDER
13 Respondent.) Docket No. 83-02
14 PROCEEDING UNDER SECTION)
15 3013 RESOURCE CONSERVATION)
16 AND RECOVERY ACT)
17 (42 U.S.C. 6934))
18
19

20 JURISDICTION

21 The following Order is issued on this date to Hughes
22 Aircraft Company (Respondent), pursuant to the authority
23 vested in the Administrator of the United States Environmental
24 Protection Agency (EPA) by § 3013 of the Resource Conservation
25 and Recovery Act, as amended, (RCRA), 42 U.S.C. § 6934, and
26 redelegated to the Director, Toxics and Waste Management
27 Division, EPA, Region 9.
28

FINDINGS OF FACT

1
2
3 1. Respondent owns and operates a facility (the facility)
4 located at 500 Superior Avenue, Newport Beach, California.

5
6 2. Respondent manufactures micro electronic components at the
7 facility and uses various organic solvents in its production
8 process, including trichloroethylene (TCE).

9
10 3. On August 13, 1980, Respondent notified EPA, pursuant to
11 § 3010 of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 6930, that it generates, treats,
12 stores and disposes of hazardous wastes at the facility.
13 Respondent submitted a Part A Application on November 7, 1980.
14 Among the hazardous wastes generated on-site are halogenated
15 and non-halogenated spent solvents, including TCE, which are
16 stored in an underground disposal tank.

17
18 4. Halogenated and non-halogenated spent solvents, including
19 TCE, are hazardous wastes as defined by § 1004(5) of RCRA,
20 42 U.S.C. § 6903(5). Halogenated and non-halogenated spent
21 solvents are listed as hazardous wastes at 40 CFR § 261.31.
22 TCE is also a hazardous substance as defined by § 101(14) of
23 the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and
24 Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA), 42 U.S.C. § 9601(14).

25
26 5. On November 17, 1982, Respondent notified EPA pursuant
27 to § 103(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9603(a), of releases at the
28 facility of hazardous substances and hazardous wastes into the

1 environment. Respondent informed EPA that the facility's
2 underground solvent disposal tank had leaked waste solvents,
3 including TCE, into the ground water over an undetermined
4 period of time.

5
6 6. TCE is used primarily as a metal degreasing agent and is
7 slightly soluble in water. TCE is an anesthetic which depresses
8 the central nervous system. TCE has been demonstrated to cause
9 cancer in animals and it has also been shown to be mutagenic in
10 certain laboratory tests. Short term exposure to TCE has been
11 reported to produce liver and kidney damage and central nervous
12 system disturbances in mammals, including humans.

13
14 7. EPA has determined in its ambient water quality criteria
15 that 2.7 parts per billion (ppb) of TCE would be expected to
16 produce one additional case of cancer in a population of
17 1,000,000 [F.R./Vol. 45, No. 231/November 28, 1980/p.79341].
18 Respondent reports concentrations of TCE in the ground water
19 at the facility as high as 280,000 ppb. This concentration
20 is more than 100,000 times the level determined by EPA to
21 pose a risk of one excess cancer incident in a population
22 of 1,000,000.

23
24 8. The State of California has established an action level of
25 5 ppb for TCE found in drinking water. Using this guideline, the
26 State has forced the closure of drinking water wells in which
27 the concentration of TCE exceeds 5 ppb.

28 -----

1 9. On March 9, 1983, Respondent submitted information to EPA,
2 pursuant to § 104 of CERCLA and § 3007 of RCRA, documenting the
3 release of TCE and other hazardous substances from its solvent
4 disposal tank and the subsequent contamination of the ground
5 water. The information was in the form of studies conducted by
6 contractors. The studies include: analysis of regional hydro-
7 geologic conditions; a preliminary inventory of local ground
8 water users; and analytical results of on-site ground water
9 monitoring.

10
11 10. The studies submitted by the Respondent assert, inter alia:

12 a. Analysis of liquid samples taken from the
13 solvent disposal tank detected the presence of
14 hazardous wastes, including TCE, ethylbenzene,
15 tetrachloroethylene, toluene, trichloroethane,
16 xylene, and dichlorobenzene. [40 CFR § 261.51 -
17 Industry and Hazardous Waste Number F001, F002,
18 F003, F005]

19
20 b. A perched water zone occurring beneath the
21 facility has been contaminated with organic com-
22 pounds which apparently leaked into the subsurface
23 from the solvent disposal tank. The principal
24 hazardous wastes detected in the perched zone are:
25 -----
26 -----
27 -----
28

	<u>Constituents</u>	<u>Concentration (ppb)</u>
1		
2	TCE	280,000
3	Ethylbenzene	42,000
4	1,1,1 trichloroethane	76,000
5	m,p-xylene	110,000
6	o-xylene	45,000
7	Methylene chloride	18,000
8	Tetrachloroethylene	53,300

1,2-trans-dichloroethylene was also detected in the perched zone.

c. Estimated ground water velocities indicate that the plume of contamination in the perched zone has already moved off-site or will move off-site in the immediate future.

d. A regional ground water system, which underlays the perched zone, has also been contaminated with organic compounds. These compounds, which include many of those detected in samples taken from the solvent disposal tank, apparently leached from the perched zone into the regional aquifer. The principal hazardous wastes detected in the regional aquifer are:

	<u>Constituents</u>	<u>Concentration (ppb)</u>
23		
24	TCE	254
25	Tetrachloroethylene	55
26	Toluene	20
27	1,1,1 trichloroethane	16
28	1,1 Dichloroethylene, 1,2 trans-dichloroethylene,	

1 1,1 dichloroethane and benzene were also detected
2 in water samples taken from the regional aquifer.

3
4 e. The regional aquifer has been contaminated
5 with chromium and manganese. Concentration levels
6 are as high as 0.25 milligrams per liter (mg/l)
7 chromium and 9.1 mg/l manganese. High chromium
8 levels may be the result of leakage from the
9 solvent disposal tank and percolation to the
10 regional aquifer.

11
12 f. Ground water pumped from the regional aquifer system
13 accounts for about 60 percent of water used in the Orange
14 County area.

15
16 11. Respondent's studies did not: delineate the extent of
17 ground water contamination on and off-site; determine the extent
18 of the perched zone, on and off-site; determine the physical
19 characteristics of the perched zone and the regional aquifer;
20 or determine the discharge areas of the perched zone and the
21 regional aquifer.

22
23 DETERMINATION

24
25 Based upon the foregoing Findings of Fact, the Director,
26 Toxics and Waste Management Division, EPA, Region 9 has deter-
27 mined that the presence and release of hazardous waste from
28 Respondent's facility may present a substantial hazard to human

1 health or the environment.

2 EPA has further determined that Responder is a current
3 owner/operator responsible for conducting the actions ordered
4 herein, which are necessary to ascertain the nature and extent
5 of the hazard.

6
7 ORDER

8
9 Based upon the foregoing Determinations and Findings of
10 Fact, Respondent, Hughes Aircraft Company, is hereby ordered,
11 pursuant to § 3013 of RCRA, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 6934, to submit
12 a proposal to EPA for the sampling, analysis, reporting and
13 monitoring of the hazardous wastes present on or being released
14 from the facility, and to implement such proposal, once approved
15 by EPA. The proposal shall include, but shall not be limited to
16 the following:

17 1. A plan to determine the physical characteristics of the
18 perched zone and the regional aquifer, including but not
19 not limited to:

- 20
21 a. transmissivities
22 b. storativities
23 c. hydraulic conductivities
24 d. saturated thicknesses
25 e. porosities
26 f. geologic descriptions
27 g. specific yield
28 h. specific storage

"If the page filmed is not as legible as this label, it is due to the quality of the original."

- 1 2. A plan to describe the hydrogeology of the site and the
- 2 affected surrounding area, sufficient to characterize the
- 3 lateral extent of the perched zone and ground water movement,
- 4 and to determine possible mechanisms of contaminant transport;
- 5
- 6 3. A plan to determine the vertical and areal distribution
- 7 of contaminants in both the perched zone and the regional
- 8 aquifer;
- 9
- 10 4. A plan to determine the discharge areas of the perched
- 11 zone and the regional aquifer;
- 12
- 13 5. Analytical and quality control protocols for the sampling
- 14 and analysis program, including:
- 15 a. adequate sample identification;
- 16 b. sample preservation techniques;
- 17 c. chain of custody;
- 18 d. use of the analytical methods set forth in the attached
- 19 document EPA Report No. 600/4-82-057;
- 20 e. identification of person(s) conducting the sampling and
- 21 analysis; and
- 22
- 23 6. A plan for retaining, identifying, maintaining and submitting
- 24 to EPA upon request splits of all samples taken pursuant to this
- 25 Order. Identification and maintenance of all split samples shall
- 26 be in accordance with the protocols specified above (5a, 5b, and
- 27 5c).
- 28

000024

1 The proposed plan ordered herein must be submitted by
2 Respondent to Betsy Curnow, Environmental Protection Agency,
3 at the address listed below, within thirty days of the date of
4 this Order. The proposed plan shall be subject to review,
5 modification and approval by EPA.

6 Respondent shall complete all work, including sample
7 analyses, as set forth in the approved proposed plan within
8 90 days after receipt of EPA approval of the proposal.

9 Respondent shall submit a written report describing the
10 data collected and findings made within 120 days after receipt
11 of EPA approval of the proposed plan.

12
13 OPPORTUNITY TO CONFER
14

15 Under the provisions of the Act, Respondent is entitled to
16 request a conference with EPA. At any conference held pursuant
17 to Respondent's request, Respondent may appear in person and by
18 attorney or other representatives for the purpose of presenting
19 any objections, defenses or contentions which Respondent may
20 have regarding this Order. Any objection, defense or contention
21 which Respondent may make should be in writing, signed and for-
22 warded to the contact person named below on or before the date
23 on which you are required to submit the proposal. The opportunity
24 to confer does not alter the requirement for submittal of the
25 plan within thirty days of the effective date of this Order.

26 ----
27 ----
28 ----

1
2
3 LIABILITY

4 If EPA determines that Respondent is not able to conduct
5 the activities contained in the approved proposal, c if actions
6 carried out are deemed unsatisfactory, then EPA may conduct
7 such actions deemed reasonable by EPA to ascertain the nature
8 and extent of the hazard. Respondent may then be ordered to
9 reimburse EPA for the costs of such activity pursuant to
10 § 3013(d) of RCRA. In the event Respondent fails or refuses
11 to comply with the terms and provisions of this Order, EPA may
12 commence a civil action, pursuant to § 3013(e) of RCRA, to
13 require compliance with such Order and to assess civil penalties
14 not to exceed \$5,000 for each day that Respondent fails or
15 refuses to comply.

16 It is so ordered on this 18 day of August, 1983.
17 This order shall become effective immediately.

18
19 UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

20
21 By: Harry Seraydarian
22 HARRY SERAYDARIAN
23 DIRECTOR, TOXICS AND WASTE MANAGEMENT DIVISION

24 Contact person:

25 Betsy Curnow (T-4-2)
26 Environmental Protection Agency
27 215 Fremont Street
28 San Francisco, California 94105
Telephone: (415) 974-7523